## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**As of:** November 10, 2010 **Received:** November 08, 2010

Status: Posted

**Posted:** November 09, 2010 **Tracking No.** 80b84012

Comments Due: November 08, 2010

**Submission Type:** Web

Docket: EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736

Draft Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load

Comment On: EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0001

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Notice for the Public Review of the Draft Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for

the Chesapeake Bay

**Document:** EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0451

Anonymous public comment

## **Submitter Information**

## **General Comment**

AS a citizen living in Charles County for over half my life, I am concerned that there are better choices that could be made in protecting the Chesapeake Bay. You alredy know the importance of the Mattawoman Watershed. It wold be way cheaper to determine how much and where protection is needed in this watershed, but the property and watch as nature works. Simplistic? Sometimes simple works, especially when mother nature is involved.

The MWS Society also points out three areas to focus on. I agree with this: Retrofitting untreated impervious surface, forest preservation, and Smart Growth. But for heavens sake, just buy the most sensitive stream valies, wetlands, buffer zones...., focus construction away from the sensitive areas (build up Waldorf) and we will save millions.....